I responded to the issue of broadcasting a warning over my Unicom as I have previously stated. There is a liability problem with broadcasting the warning over my Unicom. Matter of fact what the city neglected to tell you is that the FAA has their own frequency at the airport. They were requested by the city to make the same warning over their frequency, but it also was turned down due to liability concerns. If the federal government does not want the liability, I certainly don’t.

The City states that they installed a SuperAWOS unit on frequency 122.8. What they neglected to tell you is that it did not merely interfere with my frequency, it is my frequency. I applied for and paid for that frequency. As with any radio frequency it is an asset. As with any radio station if someone wants to use it they need to pay for the use of it. The City also neglected to tell you that one of the reasons that the FCC ordered the SuperAWOS shut down was the fact it was illegal according to FCC law. “You cannot have two automated weather reporting stations on the same airport.”

The City stated that I did not comply with the FCC and inform them whether I was or was not complying with the CEC order. I did inform the FCC that I was not broadcasting their request and stated the reason why. The City did not get a copy of my intentions. As far as the CEC issuing orders concerning the airways, the FCC stated that the CEC has absolutely no authority to order anyone on matters of broadcasting over the airways.

As far as the remainder of the City’s letter it is essentially correct. The FCC has protected myself and my license during the entire process because I am innocent of any wrongdoing. As far as the City sending any of my correspondence to the CEC, as of the 10/23/08 nothing have been sent to the CEC according to the Charles Hull assistant city manager.

The City of Blythe is the landlord of the municipal airport and has a fiduciary duty to the airport, but all the City has done through this entire process is try to protect the illegal power plant instead of concentrating their effort on the airport.

As I have stated, I stand willing to negotiate a settlement to the problem. The problem is that the CEC needs to order their licensee (BEP) to fix the problem. That is the only entity they have jurisdiction over. I understand this court does not have jurisdiction over the CEC but maybe a letter to them reminding them of their duty to the public safety might help.

The power plant is within the city limits and has been certified hazardous to the public. You would think that the city would have a remedy to the problem. But they say they don’t or at least the two parties that I been dealing with say they don’t. These are the same two parties that have been trying to protect the power plant all the way through.

Thank you:
Patt Wolfe
City of Blythe
Airport Manager: Charles Hull
231 N Broadway
Blythe, Ca. 92225

Sorry about this letter on such a late date but as you know I’ve been out of town for three months.

I’m writing this letter in response to the request of the Riverside County Grand Jury. They have requested that we negotiate and settle this safety problem that the power plant has created. If the airport is compensated for the loss of the ILS at $6301.00 a month from the day it was ordered to be turned off until it can be turned back on, and they make a reasonable offer for the use of the radio license. Then I would be agreeable to broadcast a warning to pilots about the power plant being there. The figure of $6301.00 is the amount the airport lost with the closing of the ILS.

I need a response as soon as possible. I need to respond to the Grand Jury request of the outcome of the negotiation by Sept 17, 08.

Thank You

Pat Wolfe
Blythe Airport
9/15/08

Cc. Riverside Jury
   Nelson Fowlkes
   James Gilbert
Sept. 17, 2008

City of Blythe
Airport Manager: Charles Hull
231 N. Broadway
Blythe, Ca. 92225

I’m writing this letter at the request of Mr. Hull as an addendum to my Sept 16 ‘08 letter regarding of the Grand Jury request to negotiate. There is a two part settlement in this case. One is the cost of the damage to the airport caused by FP&L Power plant. The second part is what it is worth to FP&L to transmit a warning to pilots about the danger of flying over their power plant. I have given the first part, the amount of the actual loss to the airport, to Mr Hull. I believe the Mr. Hull’s request for me to come up with both figures would be better served by FP&L coming up with the second half to show they are willing to negotiate in “Good Faith”. What they need is my radio license. If they will make a fair offer, this problem can be settled. You can inform them that sales of a radio license can be found on the Internet under “radio license broker”. I do not believe that this will happen unless the City strongly requests that the CEC carries out their responsibility and instructs the licensee to comply with their own order and acquire the warning about the dangerous power plant to pilots. Per the Grand Jury’s instructions, parties must “vigorously pursue an agreement with Wolfe Enterprises to purchase and/or transfer Wolfe Enterprise’s FCC license (frequency 122.8 Mhz) to the City Of Blythe for operation of the Super AWOS Unicron.”

Also the CEC has ordered the traffic pattern be changed at Blythe Airport before the second plant can be built. I have spoken to and written letters to the FAA and they have assured me they is too hazardous to change to pattern. If we can come to an agreement on the above issue I believe that I can help in this matter.

Again I re-iterate my willingness to meet with parties involved and negotiate to obtain a conclusion to protect the public safety. This needs to be done expeditiously before cool weather arrives and again the plumes become a more serious hazard to the flying public.

Thank You

Pat Wolfe
Cc: Riverside County Grand Jury
     Case# 07-08-037